

ON THE EMBEDDING OF 2-CONCAVE ORLICZ SPACES INTO L^1

CARSTEN SCHÜTT

ABSTRACT. In [K-S 1] it was shown that

$$\operatorname{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i a_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is equivalent to an Orlicz norm whose Orlicz function is 2-concave. Here we give a formula for the sequence $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ so that the above expression is equivalent to a given Orlicz norm.

A convex function $M: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $M(t) = M(-t)$, $M(0) = 0$, and $M(t) > 0$ if $t \neq 0$ is called an Orlicz function. M is said to be 2-concave if $M(\sqrt{t})$ is a concave function on $[0, \infty)$ and strictly 2-concave if $M(\sqrt{t})$ is strictly concave. M is 2-convex if $M(\sqrt{t})$ is convex and strictly 2-convex if $M(\sqrt{t})$ is strictly convex. If M' is invertible on $(0, \infty)$ then the dual function is given by

$$M^*(t) = \int_0^t M'^{-1}(s) ds$$

We define the Orlicz norm of a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ by

$$\|x\|_M = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i y_i \mid \sum_{i=1}^\infty M^*(y_i) \leq 1 \right\}$$

In [K-S 1, K-S 2] we have used a different expression for the definition of the Orlicz norm: x has norm equal to 1 if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^\infty M(x_i) = 1$. But it turns out that the above definition gives slightly better estimates.

Bretagnolle and Dacunha-Castelle [B-D] showed that an Orlicz space l^M is isomorphic to a subspace of L^1 if and only if M is equivalent to a 2-concave Orlicz function. As a corollary we get the same result here. In [K-S 1] a variant of the following result was obtained.

THEOREM 1. *Let $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n > 0$ and let M be the Orlicz with*

$$(1) \quad M^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) = \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^l a_i\right)^2 + \frac{l}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=l+1}^n |a_i|^2\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and such that M^{-1} is an affine function between the given values. Then we have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}} \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^2 + (n-1)^2} \|x\|_M \leq Ave_\pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i a_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{c_n} \|x\|_M$$

where $c_n = 1 - \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n!}$.

We present here those arguments of the proof of Theorem 1 that are different from the arguments in [K-S 1, K-S 2].

There is always an Orlicz function M satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1. In order to verify this we show that

$$\left\{ \left(\int_0^x f(t) dt \right)^2 + x \int_x^1 |f(t)|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is a concave function of x . Moreover, we may assume that f is differentiable. For the second derivative of the above expression we get

$$\frac{f'(x)(\int_0^x f(t)dt - xf(x))}{\left\{(\int_0^x f(t)dt)^2 + x \int_x^1 |f(t)|^2 dt\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(2f(x) \int_0^x f(t)dt + \int_x^1 |f(t)|^2 dt - xf(x))^2}{\left\{(\int_0^x f(t)dt)^2 + x \int_x^1 |f(t)|^2 dt\right\}^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

The first summand is nonpositive since f is decreasing.

It follows from Theorem 1 that an Orlicz function M has to be equivalent to a 2-concave Orlicz function if l^M is isomorphic to a subspace of L^1 [K-S 1, K-S 2]. We compute here how we have to choose the sequence $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ so that we get (1) for a given 2-concave Orlicz function M . From this it also follows that l^M is isomorphic to a subspace of L^1 if M is 2-concave.

THEOREM 2. *Let M be a strictly convex, twice differentiable Orlicz function that is strictly 2-concave. Assume that $M^*(1) = 1$ and let*

$$(3) \quad a_l = -\frac{n}{2} \int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}} \int_t^1 \frac{((M^{*-1})^2)''(s)}{\sqrt{(M^{*-1})^2(s) - s((M^{*-1})^2)'(s)}} ds + 1 - \sqrt{1 - ((M^{*-1})^2)'(1)} dt$$

for $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then we have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\frac{1}{c} \|x\|_M \leq \text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i a_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c \|x\|_M$$

where c is a constant that does not depend on n and M .

Since $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the norm

$$\|x\| = \text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i a_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is isometric to a subspace of L^1 , we get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3. *Let M be a 2-concave Orlicz function. Then l^M is isomorphic to a subspace of L^1 .*

LEMMA 4. [K-S 1] *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $n \times n$ matrices A with nonnegative entries we have*

$$c_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s(k) \leq \text{Ave}_{\pi} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a(i, \pi(i))| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s(k)$$

where $c_n = 1 - \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n!}$ and $s(k), k = 1, 2, \dots, n^2$ is the nonincreasing rearrangement of the numbers $a(i, j), i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

LEMMA 5. [K-S 2] For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all nonnegative numbers $a(i, j, k), i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we have

$$\frac{(n-1)^2}{n^2 + (n-1)^2} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n^2} s(k) \leq \text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a(i, \pi(i), \sigma(i))| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n^2} s(k)$$

where the average is taken over all permutations π, σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\{s(k)\}_{k=1}^{n^2}$ is the nonincreasing rearrangement of the numbers $a(i, j, k), i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

LEMMA 6. [K-S 1] Let $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_s > 0, n \leq s$, and

$$\|x\|_b = \max_{\sum k_j = s} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} b_j \right) |x_i|$$

Then we have for all Orlicz functions M with $M^*(\sum_{j=1}^l b_j) = \frac{l}{s}, l = 1, \dots, s$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\|x\|_b \leq \|x\|_M \leq 2 \|x\|_b$$

The proof of the right hand inequality of Lemma 6 is the same as in [K-S 2]. The left hand inequality follows from the definition of the norm.

Proof of Theorem 1. We choose the sequence $b_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with

$$\sum_{j=1}^k b_j = \sqrt{nk} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Then we get by Lemmata 4 and 6

$$\frac{c_n}{2} \text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i a_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \text{Ave}_{\pi, \sigma} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i a_{\pi(i)} b_{\sigma(i)}| \leq \text{Ave}_{\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i a_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

And by Lemma 5 we get

$$\frac{(n-1)^2}{n^2 + (n-1)^2} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n^2} s(k) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i a_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{2}{c} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n^2} s(k)$$

where $s(k), k = 1, 2, \dots, n^3$ is the decreasing rearrangement of $|x_i a_j b_k|, i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We apply Lemma 6 again with $s = n^2$ and the Orlicz function N such that

$$N^*\left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^l t(j)\right) = \frac{l}{n^2} \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n^2$$

where $t(j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n^2$ is the decreasing rearrangement of $|a_i b_k|, i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and such that N^* is an affine function between the given values. We get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^2 + (n-1)^2} \|x\|_N \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i a_{\pi(i)}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{2}{c_n} \|x\|_N$$

We have for some integers k_i with $k_i \leq n$ and $\sum k_i = ln$

$$N^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{ln} t(j) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} b_j = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sqrt{nk_i}$$

Since $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq 0$ we also have $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} N^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} (\sqrt{k_1} \sum_{i=1}^l a_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^n a_i \sqrt{k_i}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \right|^2 + l \sum_{i=l+1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(k_1 + \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=l+1}^n k_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n} \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \right|^2 + l \sum_{i=l+1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sqrt{2} M^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

We get immediately that

$$N^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{ln} t(j) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^l a_i$$

and as in [K-S 2]

$$N^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) \geq \frac{\sqrt{l}}{2n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l |a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Therefore we have

$$M^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \right|^2 + l \sum_{i=l+1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{5} N^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)$$

Altogether we have for $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) \leq M^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) \leq \sqrt{5} N^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right)$$

Since M^* and N^* are affine function for the other values the above inequalities extend to arbitrary values and we get therefore

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|x\|_N \leq \|x\|_M \leq \sqrt{5} \|x\|_N$$

□

LEMMA 7. *Let H be a concave, increasing function on $[0,1]$ that is twice continuously differentiable on $(0,1]$, continuous on $[0,1]$ and satisfies $H(0) = 0$. Assume that $(\frac{H(t)}{t})' \neq 0$ for all $t \in (0,1]$. Then*

$$(5) \quad f(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_t^1 \frac{H''(s)}{\sqrt{H(s) - sH'(s)}} ds + \sqrt{H(1)} - \sqrt{H(1) - H'(1)}$$

is a nonnegative, decreasing, differentiable function on $(0,1]$ such that $\int_0^1 f(t)dt$ is finite and such that we have for all $t \in [0,1]$

$$H(t) = \left(\int_0^t f(s)ds\right)^2 + t \int_t^1 |f(s)|^2 ds$$

LEMMA 8. *Let H be a concave, increasing function on $[0,1]$ that is twice continuously differentiable on $(0,1]$, continuous on $[0,1]$ and satisfies $H(0) = 0$. Moreover, assume that $(\frac{H(t)}{t})' \neq 0$ for all $t \in (0,1]$. Then we have*

$$(i) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \left(-\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{H(t)}{t}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$$

(ii) *The function f given by (5) is well defined, nonnegative, decreasing, and differentiable.*

$$(iii) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t f(t) = 0$$

Proof of Lemma 8. (i)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \left(-\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{H(t)}{t}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \left(\frac{H(t)}{t^2} - \frac{H'(t)}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (H(t) - tH'(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

We use that $0 \leq H'(t) \leq \frac{H(t)}{t}$.

(ii) Because of $\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{H(t)}{t}\right) \neq 0$ and the concavity of H we have $H(t) - tH'(t) > 0$. Again, by the concavity of H the integrand is a nonpositive function and therefore f is nonnegative and decreasing.

(iii) We have that

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dt} \left(t \sqrt{-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{H(t)}{t} \right)} \right) = -\frac{H''(t)}{2\sqrt{H(t) - tH'(t)}}$$

Integration by parts gives us

$$tf(t) = t \left[\sqrt{-\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{H(s)}{s} \right)} \right]_t^1 + t \int_t^1 \frac{1}{s} \sqrt{-\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{H(s)}{s} \right)} ds + t(\sqrt{H(1)} - \sqrt{H(1) - H'(1)})$$

The first summand tends to 0 because of (i) and the third trivially. The second summand also tends to 0: If the integral is bounded this is trivial. If the integral is not bounded we apply l'Hôpital's rule and (i). $\square$

Proof of Lemma 7. In general f is unbounded in a neighborhood of 0.

$$\int_0^t f(s) ds = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \{ [sf(s)]_\epsilon^t - \int_\epsilon^t sf'(s) ds \}$$

By Lemma 8(ii), the definition (5) of f , and (6) we get

$$\int_0^t f(s) ds = tf(t) + t \sqrt{-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{H(t)}{t} \right)} - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \sqrt{-\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \left(\frac{H(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \right)}$$

By Lemma 7(i) we get

$$(7) \quad \int_0^t f(s) ds = tf(t) + t \sqrt{-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{H(t)}{t} \right)}$$

or

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(s) ds - f(t) \right\}^2 = -\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{H(t)}{t} \right)$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{H(x)}{x} - H(1) = - \int_x^1 \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{H(t)}{t} \right) dt = \int_x^1 \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(s) ds - f(t) \right|^2 dt$$

With

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \left(\int_0^t f(s) ds \right)^2 + \int_t^1 |f(s)|^2 ds \right\} = - \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(s) ds - f(t) \right|^2$$

we get

$$(8) \quad \frac{H(x)}{x} - H(1) = \frac{1}{x} \left(\int_x^1 f(s) ds \right)^2 + \int_x^1 |f(s)|^2 ds - \left(\int_1^x f(s) ds \right)^2$$

By (7) we have

$$\int_0^1 f(s)ds = f(1) + \sqrt{H(1) - H'(1)}$$

By the definition (5) of f we get $f(1) = \sqrt{H(1)} - \sqrt{H(1) - H'(1)}$ and therefore

$$\int_0^1 f(s)ds = \sqrt{H(1)}$$

Thus we obtain from (8)

$$\frac{H(x)}{x} - H(1) = \frac{1}{x} \left(\int_0^x f(s)ds \right)^2 + \int_x^1 |f(s)|^2 ds - H(1)$$

Or

$$H(x) = \left(\int_0^x f(s)ds \right)^2 + x \int_x^1 |f(s)|^2 ds$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Since M is strictly convex M'^{-1} exists and $M^{*-1}(t) = M'^{-1}(t)$. Since M is twice differentiable so is M^{*-1} . Since $M(\sqrt{t})$ is strictly concave $(M^{*-1}(t))^2$ is also strictly concave. Therefore,

$$0 > ((M^{*-1})^2)'(s) - \frac{(M^{*-1})^2(s)}{s} = s \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{(M^{*-1}(s))^2}{s} \right)$$

We put $H(t) = (M^{*-1}(t))^2$ and apply Lemma 7. Therefore $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ given by (3) is a positive, decreasing sequence with

$$a_l = n \int_{\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{l}{n}} f(s)ds$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} M^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) &= \left(\left(\int_0^{\frac{l}{n}} f(s)ds \right)^2 + \frac{l}{n} \left(\int_{\frac{l}{n}}^1 |f(s)|^2 ds \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \right)^2 + \frac{l}{n} \left(\sum_{j=l}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{j}{n}}^{\frac{j+1}{n}} |f(s)|^2 ds \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(\frac{l}{n}) \leq a_l \leq f(\frac{l-1}{n})$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) &\leq \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \right)^2 + \frac{l}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=l}^{n-1} a_j^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ M^{*-1}\left(\frac{l}{n}\right) &\geq \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \right)^2 + \frac{l}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=l+1}^n a_j^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Now it is left to apply Theorem 1. □

REFERENCES

- [B–D] J. Bretagnolle and D. Dacunha-Castelle, *Application de l'étude de certaines formes linéaires aléatoires au plongement d'espaces de Banach dans les espaces L^p* , Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **2** (1969), 437–480.
- [K–S 1] S. Kwapień and C. Schütt, *Some combinatorial and probabilistic inequalities and their application to Banach space theory*, Studia Math. **82** (1985), 91–106.
- [K–S 2] S. Kwapień and C. Schütt, *Some combinatorial and probabilistic inequalities and their application to Banach space theory II*, Studia Math. **95** (1989), 141–154.

OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STILLWATER, OK 74078

CHRISTIAN ALBRECHTS UNIVERSITÄT, MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR, 24118 KIEL, GERMANY